

THE FREE
DAILY JOURNAL OF LIBERTY.
JOURNAL OF LIBERTY.
SUNDAY MORNING, Sept. 17.

The several distinguished media were received yesterday. "Lived up to the 27th and London to the 28th, are contained in the New York papers. We are hourly informed of events of 1-12, past or present, in the European papers. For commercial and political intelligence, we are to the reader to our source.

The preceding Bulletin being obliged to give up its regular place in the state, is like a bird of ill-omen, endeavoring to excite the public mind against us. The post office department. We prefer that it will have no consequences in its present, whatever it had in its forethoughts.

For commercial and political intelligence, we are to the reader to our source.

The preceding Bulletin being obliged to give up its regular place in the state, is like a bird of ill-omen, endeavoring to excite the public mind against us.

We prefer that it will have no consequences in its present, whatever it had in its forethoughts.

We were at a loss in stating that Mr. Reynolds, the representative about him Illinois, was a man of small. Our statement was based upon a representation of his opposition.

Mr. S. is decidedly favorable, we are happy to learn. His example, authority, to the present administration, and will give it a measure of hearty support. Thus have we gained in Illinois the whole delegation to congress, & "the triumph" for the wife with a vengeance.

A SMALL BIRD.—The election in Savannah, (Ga.) to charter officers, have resulted decisively in favor of the administration. The last vote received by the Union (Jackson) candidate exceeded by two hundred and forty-four of the highest nullifiers (Confederates).

The Negroes have triumphed in North Carolina with a vengeance. Witness the following:

"Young CAROLINA LIBERTY.—The Fayetteville (N. C.) Journal, in estimating the force of opinion in the legislature, gives the following account, as far as known: 1000 abolitionists, men, 44 anti-slavery, and 16 unknown."

The soldiers, as was anticipated, have triumphed in the charter elections at Charleston. We must expect a formal acceptance of this fact, and who knows but that we may not have another "big festival?" The triumph of nullification deserves a celebration at the hands of the whigs of the present day; but we pray them not again to shock the public delicacy by pronouncing the Sabbath to their revels.

CHARLES ARRIVED.—The English gun-brig Savage, from Liverpool, England, having on board sixteen persons and twelve negroes, the Marquis of Balmoral, sometime sir, and Lord of Salomon. They were to be taken to Boston for trial.

(Reported for the Free.)

MUNICIPAL PROCEEDINGS.—Setting of 15th Oct., 1834.

Present the Recorder, Mayor, Pritchard, Duke, Morris, Peaseley, Labatut, Hormans, Montague and Frost.

The proceedings of the former sitting were read, together with the following communication from the mayor:

15th October, 1834.

To the Recorder and members of the City Council:

I shall not attempt to express my approbation to the resolution passed at your last sitting, relative to the removal of the securities of the ex-interior of the state. It is done in the spirit of the ex-interior, and in the disposition which dictated the determination of the council, but the interests of the public, which are confided to us, do not permit us to yield to private considerations that may affect them. In the present case I think that every man as far as is open to him, should be called upon to abandon the cause of the former, and to support the latter.

As far as is possible, however, he should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

The consideration of the resolution was referred to the recorder.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that before moving that the council should pass the resolution, he desired the secretary should read the opinions of certain counsellors at law whether the power given to the recorder to issue a writ of habeas corpus, or not.

The secretary then read two letters from Messrs. Horne and Finch, in which those gentlemen declared that they thought the council had the right.

Mr. Peaseley then moved that the council do pass the resolution in the form of the recorder's objection.

The recorder then read for it, it appeared, that Messrs. Montague, Labatut, Hormans, Peaseley, Frost and Morris, had voted in the affirmative, and that Messrs. McCurdy, Duke and Pritchard had voted in the negative.

The secretary then read the resolution was adopted by a majority of the members.

A letter from Mr. —— was read, asking the concurrence of the council in the establishment of a Hook and Ladder Company.

Mr. McCurdy moved that the Mayor be authorized to purchase the apparatus necessary to the equipment of said company.

A petition from Thomas Barrett complaining that during rainy weather, Cawthron-House street was entirely inundated, and praying that the sides of the gutters be made of stone.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the mayor be authorized to make the sides of stone.

D. PRUD'HEM, mayor.

Mr. Peaseley said that before moving that the council should pass the resolution, he desired the secretary should read the opinions of certain counsellors at law whether the power given to the recorder to issue a writ of habeas corpus, or not.

The recorder then read two letters from Messrs. Horne and Finch, in which those gentlemen declared that they thought the council had the right.

Mr. Peaseley then moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.

Mr. Peaseley moved that the recorder should be called upon to support the former, and to oppose the latter.