NEW-ORLEANS BEE

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE STATE AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT.

BY BULLITT, MAGNE & CO.

At No. 31 Chartres street.

CITY PAPER, PUBLISHED DAILY, (per annum, in advance, $12
COUNTRY PAPER, PUBLISHED WEEKLY, $10

"Truth, unbiased, sincere, and Public Justice certain."

FOR GOVERNOR

HENRY JOHNSON.

NEW-ORLEANS:

SATURDAY MORNING, OCTOBER 9, 1841.

WHIG VICTORY!

With no the gratification of announcing the election of Mr. Hyde, the regular whig candidate for the Legislature, by a majority of 40 votes over the regular locofoco candidate, and of 218 over the independent candidate. The following is the vote:

1st Municipality.	2nd.	3rd	Total.
Randall Hunt, (whig,) 474	102	20	596
H D Pope, (locofoco) 208	197	60	465
Alex J Lewis, (Indt) 145	23	320	488

For the information of our distant readers we will state that Mr. Lewis' nomination by his friends deprived the regular whig candidate of fully 200 votes, which large numbers of the creole whigs voted for Mr. Pope, on the ground of his services in the late war and his popularity. Although two whig candidates were in the field, we have elected our man over the most popular candidate the Locofocos could produce. Under these circumstances, the election of Mr. Hunt is a triumph, and we congratulate the whigs of Orleans that their choice has fallen on a gentleman whose abilities and information amply qualify him for the representative of an intelligent constituency.

☞ It is due to the gentlemen who drafted the resolutions presented at the meeting on Monday evening, to state that the resolution was not printed as written. The difference of two inverted comas makes all the difference imaginable. The resolution as drafted was in the following terms:

"We, we belide the man who shall dare to sow "the seeds of dissention" in the whig ranks; better for him he had never been born; for if he call upon the mountains to hide him—nay, if he bury himself in the centre of the earth, the indignation of mankind will find him out and blast him with its lightnings."

ANOTHER CONFIRMATION.

Mr. Bell's letter substantiates every line written by Mr. Ewing. We are almost inclined to pity Mr. Tyler and his organs. Lame and wretched are their shifts to escape from the overwhelming evidence of their duplicity. Mr. Bell's letter is a plain, straightforward story. It goes even more into details than the letter of the late Secretary of the Treasury, and offers "confirmation strong as proof of Holy Writ," to the truth of the narrative told by Mr. Ewing.—Read it!

☞ In order to get rid of matter accumulated in the *gutter*, we have been compelled to omit a leading article written for to day's paper. It will appear on Monday.

Dr Sollman begs us to state that he resigned the candidateship for the Legislature after the 1st day—The doctor was very magnanimous, but he should have run; could his friends. We are told the doctor would have considerated even his desire himself, but he allowed his suffrage on another candidate.

Health of the City.—The number of interments reported to the Board of Health for the 24 hours ending yesterday at noon, was 29; of which 30 were of yellow fever.

The above report comprises the burials in the following cemeteries:—

		Yellow Fever.
Potter's Field,	29	24
Catholic,	5	1
St Patrick's,	2	0
Protestant,	1	1
Chapitoulas Grove,	2	0
	39	30

Report of the Charity Hospitals. During the 24 hours ending yesterday at noon:

Admissions 85, of which 20 yellow fever.

Discharged	17	12	of yellow fever.
Deaths,	8	7	of yellow fever.

Number of patients remaining in the hospital, 342

Accidents on the Lafayette Railroad.—On the 6th September:

From New Orleans	9
Lafayette	1
	10

Ten Thousand a Year.—The sixth and last volume of this very original and interesting romance has been received by Wiley, Norman, Steel & Co.

Chapman's and the Hunt's Merchants' Magazine for September are for sale by the publisher.

☞ We are indebted to the steamer Saltaire for late Vicksburg and Natchez papers.

Jackson—Locofoco majority 334 Whig gain 1561

The Hon. John Sargent has resigned his seat as a member of Congress from the Second District of Pennsylvania.

Miss Therese Bosler, sister of Fanny, arrived in the Cleopatra, and is to play in connection with the celebrated dancer.

The Prince de Joinville, third son of his Majesty the King of the French, arrived at New York on the 28th Sept. in the frigate *La Belle Poule*.

The Rev. Mr. Van Zandt, who was inducted some time ago into a rectorship has appeared before the ecclesiastical tribunal at Rochester, but as the principal witness, Miss Murdock, declined appearing until the civil suit is tried, nothing was done.

Gang of Counterfeiters Arrested.—At Philadelphia, a few days ago, a gang of counterfeiters, consisting of five or six persons, was arrested for passing counterfeit notes. Nineteen hundred and eighty-seven dollars of counterfeit money was found in their possession. The notes were principally on New York and Pennsylvania banks.

Vermont.—All the townships except 4 have been heard from. The vote stands thus:

Paine, (whig)	19,452
Smith, (L. C.)	18,477
Hutchinson, (abol.)	2,750

The senate stands 17 whigs, 10 locos. The house, 216 whigs, 83 locos.

A Horror lately occurred at the Cameron Mining Alms House. A man named Britton, a lunatic, had put his address to a girl named Sarah Stevenson, an inmate of the establishment, and had been refused by her. On the Sabbath while engaged in reading her bible, Britton entered the room, and drawing a large carving knife from his shirt bosom, rushed upon her. The woman about Miss Stevenson tried to prevent the deed, but the miscreant drove them back with his knife, and seizing his victim, threw her on the bed and made a pass at her throat. She received the blow by receiving it on her hand, which was badly gashed. A second stroke was fatal, the knife entering her right fore shoulder and breast. In the spasmodic agony of the moment she sprang from the bed, advanced towards the door and fell dead on the threshold. The murderer was immediately arrested.

From the Ebonia, (N. Y.) Republican.

Fearful Event to Ashes.—We have been furnished with the following details of a late horrible catastrophe. The house of Sylvester, S. H. Brown, on the Clark about 8 miles from this place, was consumed by fire on the 11th inst., about 11 o'clock at night, and four persons, viz two sons of Mr. Brown, one aged 7 and the other 5 years—Thomas Scott, aged 50 years, and a daughter of Ezekiel Cooper, aged 11 years, perished in the flames.

The family had gone to bed, slept up stairs, while Mr. Brown and his wife and a babe, who slept below, barely escaped, without saving any thing but their night clothes. Mr. Smith was a stone mason, formerly from Smithville, Chenango co. The fire caught from a stove chimly, joining the house, very near the end of the stair case, which prevented a descent on that part of the house, by any person in the upper story. It spread so rapidly that no attempt was made to save any thing but the inmates, as they escaped with their night clothes.

TO THE EDITORS.

Washington, September 20, 1841.

Gentlemen—Doubts have been attempted to be cast upon the correctness of Mr. Ewing's statement in relation to the part taken by the President in getting up the Fiscal Corporation Bill, by arguing that there must have been something in which ought to defeat it. Nothing could be more unfounded. There are circumstances in this case distinguishing it from all others that I recollect of in the course of my life. It was not a matter of official business, of public and general concern. The public and the President had no knowledge of these high functionaries in direct opposition to what the other 2nd, by his express direction and authority, officiated as to his intentions and purposes. Therefore, I humbly submit, no serious question in such a case upon the point of personal propriety, when the injured party seeks to vindicate by boldly disclosing the truth. The obligations arising out of such confidential relations, in private or public affairs, are founded in mutual trust. He that deserts his own confidential pledges and engagements cannot allege the obligation of confidence, in the same transaction, against the natural right of self-defence belonging to the injured party. For any thing that can ever be known to the contrary it may have been the object of the original pledge or engagement to sacrifice those who trusted and were misled by it. For these reasons, I do not hesitate to furnish, for publication, the accompanying statement, which contains all the facts and circumstances within my knowledge, that seem to me to be of a public material, connected with the subject of difference. I do this to do equal justice not only to Mr. Ewing, who requested it, but to myself and the public.

I avail myself of this occasion to say that I have, at no time, regarded a difference of opinion between the President and myself in relation to a Bank, however important the subject, as sufficient of itself to justify a resignation of the office which I lately held in the Executive Administration of the government. Nor was it because the President thought proper to trifle with or misled his Cabinet, as these or but too much reason believe to be intended to do, in the affair of the late Fiscal Bank Bill, that I resigned my place.—There were other, and some of them pre-existing causes, for such a course, which never will regard as sufficient at themselves; and which could not have been overruled. But it was possible to explain or remove them, and therefore they were not promptly acted upon. The last act of the President, however, was conclusive of the true character of all of the occurrences or circumstance which had previously awakened curiosity or excited distrust.

I shall, at my leisure, state the reasons more at large which impelled me to the course I have thought proper to adopt, and at the same time furnish a narrative of all the causes, so far as they fell under my observation, which have resulted in the separation of Mr. Tyler from that party which brought him into power, and has striking up of the Whig Administration.

I am, respectfully, your obedient servant,

JOHN BELL.

Messrs. Gales & Seaton.

STATEMENT.

I called to see the President on official business on the morning (Monday, 16th August) before the first Veto Message was sent in. I found him reading the Message to the Secretary of the Treasury. He said he the power to read the material passages to me. Upon reading it as part of it which treats of the superior importance and value of the business done by the late Bank of the United States in facilitating exchanges between the different States and sections of the Union, I was so strongly impressed with it the idea that he seemed to intimate that he would have no objection to a bank which should be restricted to dealing in exchanges, that I interrupted him in the reading, and asked if I was to understand, by what he had just read, that he was prepared to give his assent to a bank in the District of Columbia, with offices or agencies in the States, having the privilege, without the assent, to deal in exchanges between them, and in foreign bills. He promptly replied that he thought experience had shown the necessity of such a power in the Government. I could not restrain the immediate expression of my gratification upon hearing this avowal. I said to the President at once, that what I had feared would lead to fatal dissensions am ngst our friends, I now regarded as rather fortunate than otherwise; that his veto of the bill then before him would lead to the adoption of a much better one. I also congratulated him upon the happy circumstance under which had taken place in sending in his Veto Message. The best and evidence which might have been expected in the Veto had been sent in immediately upon the passage of the bill, would now be avoided. Time had been given for cool reflection, and as the Message did not preclude the idea of a bank in some form, no unpleasant consequences would be likely to follow. He expressed his great surprise that there should be so much excitement upon the subject; said that he had had his mind made up on the bill before him from the first, but had delayed his message that there should be time for the excitement to wear off that nothing could be more easy than to pass a bill which would answer all necessary purposes; that it could be done in three days. The next day, having occasion to see the President again, he requested me to furnish him with such information as the War Department afforded of the embarrassments attending the transportation of the disbursements of the public funds to distant points on the frontier, in Florida, &c. He at the same time requested me to draw up a brief statement of my views upon the subject, showing the practical advantages and necessity of such a fiscal institution as he had thought of proposing. Such information as I could handily collect from the heads of the principal disbursing bureaus of the Department I handed to him on the morning of the same day, knowing that time was of the utmost importance in the state in which the question then was. He received the statements I gave with manifest indifference, and alarmed me by remarking that he began to doubt whether he would give his assent (as I understood him) to any bank.

The next day (Wednesday, 18th August) was the stated time for the weekly meeting of the Cabinet with the President. Mr. Webster, Mr. Ewing, and myself went at ten o'clock in the morning, and were informed that the President was engaged with Messrs Berrien, Secretary, and, I think, Mr. Dawson of Georgia. We waited until they retired, and the President made his appearance about three quarters of an hour afterwards. Mr. Badger came in soon after the President joined us. Messrs. Crittenden and Granger did not attend. The conference which ensued was a long one—lasting two hours at least, according to my recollection. I cannot pretend to detail all that was said; neither can I undertake to give the language employed by the President upon every point, any of the members of the Cabinet. I can only state the substance of what was said upon those points which most attracted my attention.

The President commenced by stating that he had been waited upon that morning by a Committee of Members of Congress, who desired to know his views upon the subject of a bank—such a one as he could sanction. He had given them no answer of opinion upon that subject, but had informed them that he would first consult with his constitutional advisers—his Cabinet—through whom he thought it most regular that his views should be communicated. He asked the opinion of the Cabinet upon the correctness of the ground he had taken, remarking at the same time, that the habit of expressing his views to Members of Congress upon subjects of so much interest subjected him to great embarrassment and much misrepresentation. That question being disposed of, the President adverted briefly, but without much connection, to his relation to which he stood to the Bank question, and his disposition to act so far as he could to comply with the wishes of his friends. He spoke of the relation that existed between him and the Cabinet, and how necessary it was that he should have their support. Would they stand by him? He much preferred that the whole subject should be postponed until the next session; but if it was necessary to act now, he thought a plan might be devised which, with their co-operation, might be carried through. He wondered why the Senate continued to postpone acting upon his Veto Message, which was yet to be disposed of. He supposed it might be to hold it as a rod over his head, and had some doubt whether it was proper he should consider further upon the subject until the Senate decided what they would do with the bill then before them. Some one present assured him that the postponement of the question pending in the Senate was intended to give time for reflection, and to prevent an intemperate debate.

The President then gave the outline of such a bank of fiscal institution as he thought he could sanction. It was to be in the District of Columbia, to have the privilege of issuing its own notes, receive moneys on deposit, and to deal in bills of exchange between the States and between the United States and foreign States. But he wished to have the opinion of his Cabinet upon it. His own consistency and reputation must be looked to. He considered his Cabinet his friends, who must stand by and defend whatever he did upon the subject. He appealed particularly to Mr. Webster for his opinion upon the point of consistency; and whether there was a clear distinction between the old Bank of the United States—a bank of *discount and deposite*—and the one he now thought of proposing; and whether the constitutional question was not different. He reminded us that, in all his former speeches and reports, he had taken the ground that Congress had no constitutional power to charter a bank which had the power of local discount. Mr. Webster pointed out the distinction between the two plans in a manner which appeared to be satisfactory to him. The substance of which he said to me, as I understood him, as follows: He had a decided preference for a bank upon the plan then proposed over either of those which had been previously spoken of. He reminded the President that he had expressed his preference for a bank which should be restricted in its dealings to the bills of exchange. He thought the plan proposed at the commencement of the session, generally known as Mr. Ewing's bill, as incongruous and objectionable on this ground. His general course of thinking on such subjects led him to prefer that, whatever power this Government asserted, or was authorized to exercise, should be exercised independently of State authority, and of the interference of the States. He thought there could be no doubt of the constitutional power to charter a bank as was then proposed, according to the President's own modes of thinking upon that subject, if he understood them. Certainly there was a clear distinction between such a bank and the late Bank of the United States. The one now proposed was to be limited in its operations to such objects as were clearly within some of the provisions of the Constitution, or such as were clearly necessary to the execution of others. The privilege of issuing its own notes, or dealing in exchanges, and of receiving moneys on deposite, all appeared to have immediate reference to or connexion with the power given in the Constitution over commerce between the States, over the country, and the necessary fiscal operations of the Government in the collection, safe keeping, and disbursement of the public revenue. These were all subjects of national, and not local or State concern. The distinction between this plan and the late Bank of the United States lay in this: the privilege enjoyed by the bank, of dealing in bills of exchange, or discounting notes having no circulation, as it might be, but between the different streets or commercial points of the same city, had no connexion with the trade or commerce between the States and remote sections of the Union, nor with the transfer of the public money from one point to another; and it had, therefore, no necessary connexion with any of the great national objects for which the bank was chartered, nor could it be claimed as an incident to any of the powers given to Congress by the Constitution. That privilege, he apprehended, was conferred upon the late bank from the belief that without it the stock of the bank could not be made palatable; and it was therefore considered as a necessary incident to an institution which was itself but the offspring of an incidental power. Experience, he thought, had shown clearly that such a privilege was no longer important or necessary. By confining the discounting privilege of the proposed bank to bills of exchange to this country and foreign States, and between the several states of the Union, this objection would not lie against it.

The President expressed his regret that he had not used the words "bank of *discount* and deposite" in his late Message, so that the distinction he now took might be clearly inferred from that message, and he could not then be charged with inconsistency. Mr. Badger said he thought nothing would be gained by the use of the terms "bank of discount and deposite" in his message for, as to the charge of inconsistency, it might, and probably would, be made against him for have been the object of the original pledge or engagement to sacrifice those who trusted and were misled by it. For these reasons, I do not hesitate to furnish, for publication, the accompanying statement, which contains all the facts and circumstances within my knowledge, that seem to me to be of a public material, connected with the subject of difference. I do this to do equal justice not only to Mr. Ewing, who requested it, but to myself and the public.

I avail myself of this occasion to say that I have, at no time, regarded a difference of opinion between the President and myself in relation to a Bank, however important the subject, as sufficient of itself to justify a resignation of the office which I lately held in the Executive Administration of the government. Nor was it because the President thought proper to trifle with or misled his Cabinet, as these or but too much reason believe to be intended to do, in the affair of the late Fiscal Bank Bill, that I resigned my place.—There were other, and some of them pre-existing causes, for such a course, which never will regard as sufficient at themselves; and which could not have been overruled. But it was possible to explain or remove them, and therefore they were not promptly acted upon. The last act of the President, however, was conclusive of the true character of all of the occurrences or circumstance which had previously awakened curiosity or excited distrust.

I shall, at my leisure, state the reasons more at large which impelled me to the course I have thought proper to adopt, and at the same time furnish a narrative of all the causes, so far as they fell under my observation, which have resulted in the separation of Mr. Tyler from that party which brought him into power, and has striking up of the Whig Administration.

I am, respectfully, your obedient servant,

JOHN BELL.

Messrs. Gales & Seaton.

STATEMENT.

I called to see the President on official business on the morning (Monday, 16th August) before the first Veto Message was sent in. I found him reading the Message to the Secretary of the Treasury. He said he the power to read the material passages to me. Upon reading it as part of it which treats of the superior importance and value of the business done by the late Bank of the United States in facilitating exchanges between the different States and sections of the Union, I was so strongly impressed with it the idea that he seemed to intimate that he would have no objection to a bank which should be restricted to dealing in exchanges, that I interrupted him in the reading, and asked if I was to understand, by what he had just read, that he was prepared to give his assent to a bank in the District of Columbia, with offices or agencies in the States, having the privilege, without the assent, to deal in exchanges between them, and in foreign bills. He promptly replied that he thought experience had shown the necessity of such a power in the Government. I could not restrain the immediate expression of my gratification upon hearing this avowal. I said to the President at once, that what I had feared would lead to fatal dissensions am ngst our friends, I now regarded as rather fortunate than otherwise; that his veto of the bill then before him would lead to the adoption of a much better one. I also congratulated him upon the happy circumstance under which had taken place in sending in his Veto Message. The best and evidence which might have been expected in the Veto had been sent in immediately upon the passage of the bill, would now be avoided. Time had been given for cool reflection, and as the Message did not preclude the idea of a bank in some form, no unpleasant consequences would be likely to follow. He expressed his great surprise that there should be so much excitement upon the subject; said that he had had his mind made up on the bill before him from the first, but had delayed his message that there should be time for the excitement to wear off that nothing could be more easy than to pass a bill which would answer all necessary purposes; that it could be done in three days. The next day, having occasion to see the President again, he requested me to furnish him with such information as the War Department afforded of the embarrassments attending the transportation of the disbursements of the public funds to distant points on the frontier, in Florida, &c. He at the same time requested me to draw up a brief statement of my views upon the subject, showing the practical advantages and necessity of such a fiscal institution as he had thought of proposing. Such information as I could handily collect from the heads of the principal disbursing bureaus of the Department I handed to him on the morning of the same day, knowing that time was of the utmost importance in the state in which the question then was. He received the statements I gave with manifest indifference, and alarmed me by remarking that he began to doubt whether he would give his assent (as I understood him) to any bank.

The next day (Wednesday, 18th August) was the stated time for the weekly meeting of the Cabinet with the President. Mr. Webster, Mr. Ewing, and myself went at ten o'clock in the morning, and were informed that the President was engaged with Messrs Berrien, Secretary, and, I think, Mr. Dawson of Georgia. We waited until they retired, and the President made his appearance about three quarters of an hour afterwards. Mr. Badger came in soon after the President joined us. Messrs. Crittenden and Granger did not attend. The conference which ensued was a long one—lasting two hours at least, according to my recollection. I cannot pretend to detail all that was said; neither can I undertake to give the language employed by the President upon every point, any of the members of the Cabinet. I can only state the substance of what was said upon those points which most attracted my attention.

The President commenced by stating that he had been waited upon that morning by a Committee of Members of Congress, who desired to know his views upon the subject of a bank—such a one as he could sanction. He had given them no answer of opinion upon that subject, but had informed them that he would first consult with his constitutional advisers—his Cabinet—through whom he thought it most regular that his views should be communicated. He asked the opinion of the Cabinet upon the correctness of the ground he had taken, remarking at the same time, that the habit of expressing his views to Members of Congress upon subjects of so much interest subjected him to great embarrassment and much misrepresentation. That question being disposed of, the President adverted briefly, but without much connection, to his relation to which he stood to the Bank question, and his disposition to act so far as he could to comply with the wishes of his friends. He spoke of the relation that existed between him and the Cabinet, and how necessary it was that he should have their support. Would they stand by him? He much preferred that the whole subject should be postponed until the next session; but if it was necessary to act now, he thought a plan might be devised which, with their co-operation, might be carried through. He wondered why the Senate continued to postpone acting upon his Veto Message, which was yet to be disposed of. He supposed it might be to hold it as a rod over his head, and had some doubt whether it was proper he should consider further upon the subject until the Senate decided what they would do with the bill then before them. Some one present assured him that the postponement of the question pending in the Senate was intended to give time for reflection, and to prevent an intemperate debate.

The President then gave the outline of such a bank of fiscal institution as he thought he could sanction. It was to be in the District of Columbia, to have the privilege of issuing its own notes, receive moneys on deposit, and to deal in bills of exchange between the States and between the United States and foreign States. But he wished to have the opinion of his Cabinet upon it. His own consistency and reputation must be looked to. He considered his Cabinet his friends, who must stand by and defend whatever he did upon the subject. He appealed particularly to Mr. Webster for his opinion upon the point of consistency; and whether there was a clear distinction between the old Bank of the United States—a bank of *discount and deposite*—and the one he now thought of proposing; and whether the constitutional question was not different. He reminded us that, in all his former speeches and reports, he had taken the ground that Congress had no constitutional power to charter a bank which had the power of local discount. Mr. Webster pointed out the distinction between the two plans in a manner which appeared to be satisfactory to him. The substance of which he said to me, as I understood him, as follows: He had a decided preference for a bank upon the plan then proposed over either of those which had been previously spoken of. He reminded the President that he had expressed his preference for a bank which should be restricted in its dealings to the bills of exchange. He thought the plan proposed at the commencement of the session, generally known as Mr. Ewing's bill, as incongruous and objectionable on this ground. His general course of thinking on such subjects led him to prefer that, whatever power this Government asserted, or was authorized to exercise, should be exercised independently of State authority, and of the interference of the States. He thought there could be no doubt of the constitutional power to charter a bank as was then proposed, according to the President's own modes of thinking upon that subject, if he understood them. Certainly there was a clear distinction between such a bank and the late Bank of the United States. The one now proposed was to be limited in its operations to such objects as were clearly within some of the provisions of the Constitution, or such as were clearly necessary to the execution of others. The privilege of issuing its own notes, or dealing in exchanges, and of receiving moneys on deposite, all appeared to have immediate reference to or connexion with the power given in the Constitution over commerce between the States, over the country, and the necessary fiscal operations of the Government in the collection, safe keeping, and disbursement of the public revenue. These were all subjects of national, and not local or State concern. The distinction between this plan and the late Bank of the United States lay in this: the privilege enjoyed by the bank, of dealing in bills of exchange, or discounting notes having no circulation, as it might be, but between the different streets or commercial points of the same city, had no connexion with the trade or commerce between the States and remote sections of the Union, nor with the transfer of the public money from one point to another; and it had, therefore, no necessary connexion with any of the great national objects for which the bank was chartered, nor could it be claimed as an incident to any of the powers given to Congress by the Constitution. That privilege, he apprehended, was conferred upon the late bank from the belief that without it the stock of the bank could not be made palatable; and it was therefore considered as a necessary incident to an institution which was itself but the offspring of an incidental power. Experience, he thought, had shown clearly that such a privilege was no longer important or necessary. By confining the discounting privilege of the proposed bank to bills of exchange to this country and foreign States, and between the several states of the Union, this objection would not lie against it.

The President expressed his regret that he had not used the words "bank of *discount* and deposite" in his late Message, so that the distinction he now took might be clearly inferred from that message, and he could not then be charged with inconsistency. Mr. Badger said he thought nothing would be gained by the use of the terms "bank of discount and deposite"

☞ For sale at Gretna's Libelers' Depot, Manchester Edition, the Bill passed at the entire session of Congress, entitled:

AN ACT RELATING TO DUTIES AND DRAWBACKS.

Printed on a letter sheet for the use of Merchants.

Price, $1 50 per dozen.

THEATRICAL NOTICE.

ST. CHARLES THEATRE.

THE ladies and gentlemen of the Company engaging at the above establishment, respectfully announce to the citizens of New Orleans, that the Theatre will open on Monday, the 11th October, at 7½ o'clock, when will be performed a variety entertainment prior to the evening's amusements.

JAMES H. CALDWELL,
For 2NO ASSISTANT.

NOTICE.—By the decree rendered in the United States Court, on the 30th ult., the Branch of the Bank of the United States at this city has been formally closed, and the business of the Bank will hereafter be transacted at the Banking House of the Mechanics' Bank, corner of Carondelet and Gravier streets.

[illegible]

TRADE AND COMMERCE.

EXPORTS

[illegible]

RECEIPTS FROM THE INTERIOR

[illegible]

MARINE INTELLIGENCE.

PORT OF NEW-ORLEANS

CLEARED

[illegible]

ARRIVED

[illegible]

From the Madisonian.

THE LETTERS OF RESIGNATION.

We have copies from the letters of Messrs. Crittenden and Ewing, which we have read with much interest, and they are, in our humble opinion, unanswerable documents. They are calculated to make a very different impression on the public mind from that which the President and his friends have been endeavoring to produce by the publication of the letters of Messrs. Bell and Ewing. The Madisonian who has perused the correspondence between him and Mr. Madison? Never did Mr. Madison open the door for the secret counsel of his cabinet to be unprincipled enough to betray it. Never did he, with shameless effrontery, publish to the world the private conversations which took place between him and his cabinet