THE DAILY BEE,
PUBLISHED BY
G. F. WEISSE & Co.,
EDITORS AND PROPRIETORS.
G. F. WEISSE, S. MARKEY,
K. DUVERGES, N. DUPUCH.
DAILY PAPER, (one year in advance).....
COUNTRY PAPER, semi-weekly, (one year in advance)
Country subscribers must give one week's notice of stopping before they can be allowed to discontinue.

The New Orleans Bee.

OFFICE, 83 CHARTRES ST. NEW ORLEANS, TUESDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 11, 1855. VOL. XVI—NEW SERIES. VOL. XXIX—WHOLE NO. 8017.

RATES OF ADVERTISING.
One Dollar for every TEN lines in each insertion on the first insertion, and HALF that price for each subsequent one. No advertisement inserted for less than one dollar in each insertion.
Advertisements inserted at intervals will be charged one dollar for every TEN lines for each insertion for each insertion.
The privilege of monthly advertisers is limited to advertisements not exceeding the bar of their business, and to actual business every other day.

THE DAILY BEE.

TUESDAY MORNING, DEC. 11, 1855.

Subscriptions by the Week.

Carriers of the Bee are authorized to receive subscriptions by the week, at the rate of 25 cents.

The Northern Mail came through yesterday with the latest dates.

THE CHOICE OF EVILS.

A Washington paper says that Messrs. Williams and Olivers, Whigs, from Missouri, Gilmer, Whig, from Maryland, Stephens, Dem. from Georgia, Evans, American, from Tennessee, and Talbot, Whig, from Kentucky, will steadily for Richardson, the Democratic nominee for speaker, during the first balloting in the National House of Representatives. With one exception, we see no reason for disposing in this announcement. The members from Missouri were elected as Whigs, and not as Know Nothings. Have they a choice between a Black Republican, which we know, and a Democratic nominee for speaker they might without inconsistency vote for the latter. The American party is far from being composed exclusively of slaveholders, that large numbers of them are bitterly opposed to it, and prefer sustaining Democracy. This has been abundantly exemplified in the recent election in Louisiana. The city of Maryland, was elected as an anti-Know Nothing candidate. His sympathies, however, lead him directly to support the Democrats. Stephens, of Ga., has avowedly given over to the Democrats. Talbot, of Ky., has been in opposition to an American party, and may be placed in the same category with Bowie. The only member who shows symptoms of treachery is Evans, who has led in one of the sharpest contests made by a minority majority, over a South American, Democratic competitor, and principle should have induced him to vote with his party.

Above all, these representatives have shown a disposition more than anticipate the action of most, if not all of the members. The character of the ballots had for Speaker of the House indicates, not exclusively the impracticable ravings of the Freesoilers of the North, but a decision from their determination of a presiding officer of their own. They have refused to abandon an opinion or principle, and have declined to make a compromise from the South. Not a Southern member, as far as we know, has yielded a point. Not a Southern member would be acceptable to the North, if his position as a Southern man should be considered a disqualification. Not a Northern member would be acceptable to the South, if his position as a Southern man should be considered no objection. Under such circumstances, all overtures towards a compromise are scornfully rejected. It is certainly clear that after a number of ballots, the House will be compelled to adopt the policy of 1849, and to rally on a compromise. The moment this is seen, we may look down to the nominee of a fair Freesoiler, and a fair Southern representative. This is the only such a conjuncture, where the Southern opposition vote is regarded as could be to ensure a plurality, and a majority candidate. We believe Northern members will be guilty of no impropriety in giving their votes to such a nominee. As for ourselves, much as we love Democracy, and especially as we love our party, we should infinitely prefer the election of Richardson, or any other Democrat, to the Speaker's chair, to the election of any other detested faction. And as we have expressed our opinion, we will see how it will be received. Under moderate and reasonable circumstances, we should not hesitate to vote for any Democratic nominee, rather than see a war upon the rights of Missouri, intense sectional feeling, and at least the disembowelment of the Union and civil strife.

But if we are to believe the telegraph, the prospect of the anticipated election of Mason, a Freesoil Democrat or a Know Nothing. We trust no Southern man will be deceived anything to his success. Although a man of ability, is prejudiced, and prejudice not a whit behind the age.

WHITE'S LOUISVILLE.—It gives us pleasure that Mr. Lugenbuhl, who has been our candidate for Clerk of the Circuit Court, against Mr. P. S. Bright, has been elected by a large majority, the vote stands not successful. We hope to see Mr. Bright's friends in opposition to an American ticket, and may be placed in the same ranks with Bowie. The only member who shows symptoms of treachery is Evans, who has led in one of the sharpest contests made by a minority majority, over a South American, Democratic competitor, and principle should have induced him to vote with his party.

Above all, these representatives have shown a disposition more than anticipate the action of most, if not all of the members. The character of the ballots had for Speaker of the House indicates, not exclusively the impracticable ravings of the Freesoilers of the North, but a decision from their determination of a presiding officer of their own. They have refused to abandon an opinion or principle, and have declined to make a compromise from the South. Not a Southern member, as far as we know, has yielded a point. Not a Southern member would be guilty of no impropriety in giving his votes to such a