New Orleans Bee.

OFFICE, 33 CHARTRES ST. NEW ORLEANS, WEDNESDAY MORNING, AUGUST 13, 1856. VOL. XVII—NEW SERIES. VOL. XXIX—WHOLE NO. 10,112.

THE DAILY BEE.

The carriers of the BEE are authorized to receive subscriptions at the rate of 25 cents.

WEDNESDAY MORNING, AUG. 13.

The Jurisdiction of the conference of Mr. Fillmore has subsidized the Democratic Government with signal success and ability. It has been GOD and Right, Life, Justice, Honor and Conscience."—Extract from Gov. [illegible]'s letter to Hon. Mayor of Dublin, on the desire of administration for the North to represent the South, or for the South to represent the North, they are not the men who should [illegible] votes to me' for my own part, I have only the greatest of [illegible] country, and nothing but [illegible] of success."—MILLARD FILLMORE'S LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE. New York.

AN HONEST CONFESSION.

Showing casually at a Dunderpolt journal published in one of the country parishes, we [illegible] an expression of regret that in Ohio all or nearly all of the Fillmore electoral tickets have been declined, and that the field will be left entirely to BUCHANAN and FREMONT. This," adds the editor, "is to be regretted, as Mr. FILLMORE'S running will greatly help BUCHANAN, and injure FREMONT in all the Northern States."

The statement touching Ohio may or may not be true. We have seen nothing to warrant it. But we invite attention to the frank acknowledgment that follows. It is precisely what we have always contended for, though it is too broad and unqualified. FILLMORE'S running does not help BUCHANAN in New York, because there BUCHANAN is the weakest of the three candidates; but it does help him in Indiana, Illinois, Connecticut, and perhaps other States.

The Buchanan presses of the South are endeavoring to persuade the people to retain the nominee of the Cincinnati Convention on the ground that Mr. FILLMORE cannot be elected, and that BUCHANAN will need the entire Southern electoral vote to secure his success. In other words, they are anxious that Mr. FILLMORE should be abandoned in the South, either formally or tacitly, so that OLD BUCK may carry all the slave States. At the same time they desire that Mr. FILLMORE should continue to be run at the North, so that by the division of the opposition vote BUCHANAN may obtain a plurality in some of the Northern States. This is a very pretty project, quite ingenious, and altogether satisfactory to the Democratic party. It has but one capital defect: it is impracticable. Does any one suppose the Northern opposition so particularly soft and refreshing a verdict as to play into the hands of the Democracy? Is it conceivable that they will suffer Mr. BUCHANAN quietly to receive the vote of every Southern State, and add him in his canvass at the North by maligning two tickets in the field? Not exactly. If the FILLMORE men of the North perceive that the South, recreant to her duty and her interests, deserts the National candidate for BUCHANAN, they will probably, in their indignation at this treachery, let Mr. FILLMORE go by the board. Only let the Northern men suspect this game, and see how quickly Indiana and Illinois, and Connecticut, and every State now rendered doubtful by the presence of a FILLMORE ticket, will be abandoned to a struggle between FREMONT and BUCHANAN. In such event, it is barely possible that the FILLMORE ticket will be maintained in those States only where BUCHANAN has confessedly no chance, such as New York. The consequences of this change of tactics would be certainly BUCHANAN'S defeat, and possibly FREMONT'S election. Thus would the engineers of the Democracy be hoisted by their own petard.

Considerate and high-minded politicians are the Democratic leaders. They coolly propose to their opponents to work for them to co-operate with them in securing their triumph. Well, perhaps the South may be foolish enough to obey them. She did so in 1840, '44 and '52. But we fancy the North will rather object to the one-sided proposition. If Mr. FILLMORE is to remain a candidate, he is not to be made a catspaw of for the behest of koodcoutom. That is certain.

No. 4.

"COM. CONSISTENCY, THOU ART A JEWEL."

Courier, July 18, 1857.

EDITORS OF THE BEE—When the prisoner at the bar has been convicted of assault and battery, and the sentence of the law is about to be pronounced upon him for his guilt, it would show bad taste in him should he indulge in jocular allusions at a moment so serious to himself; but while the trial is progressing he might be allowed, if he chose, to be a little merry occasionally, and even to whistle to keep up his courage.

The Courier's last notice of *Junius* proves how that journal writhes under the evidence against it of its tortuous course towards that distinguished patriot, Mr. FILLMORE. The Courier is impatient under the excoriations of Junius, and strives to conceal its wounded spirit under such jocose paragraphs as the following:

"*He's congratulate Junius on his resolve to study the Courier. It will no doubt do him much good, if he chooses to be an honest seeker after political truth.*"

He assured "Junius" that he studied the Courier for many years. He believes himself an honest seeker after political truth; but a familiarity with its columns has forced upon "Junius" the conviction of its being a very impure source of political consistency in reference to Mr. Fillmore. Did only "honest seekers after political truth" read that journal, its inconsistency would have a better chance of exposure to the world, which it has become the duty of "Junius" to perform. Unfortunately, however, there are too many readers who submit in political blindness to its views, and bestow their obedience where it should be withheld.

My purpose, however, is not to go into an argument at this time. When the inconsistency is completed, this shall follow; and if the Courier will only reserve firmness enough for the occasion, there will be great rejoicing in many places.

Let us, therefore, resume our evidence; and, from time to time, proceed to prove the Courier, out of its own mouth, to be unjust to me as Mr. Fillmore.

October 3, 1852—The editor republishes a letter from Hon. Daniel Jaspier, in which he asks:

"Why was it that MILLARD FILLMORE and Daniel Webster, who were justly popular with the South and all Union Whigs, and whose administration was pronounced by [illegible] administration, was not satisfactory to the Northern Whigs? SOLELY BECAUSE THEY WERE ADVOCATES OF REASONING BEAR TO THE SOUTH."

October 19, 1852—The editor copies from the New York Democrat and endorses the following:

"Does anybody believe that Gen. Scott is as well qualified as Mr. FILLMORE or MR. Webster to discharge the duty of President of the United States?"

Why did the Whigs nominate a military [illegible] not so well qualified, instead of rallying on FILLMORE or Webster?

Did not the Whigs select Scott as a more available candidate, *because they believed he would receive the Abolition and Free-soil vote?*

September 10, 1853—The editor writes:

"*Whigs of the South*, next is the men call themselves Whigs and Republicans in the Convention, and nominated Scott."

Now, what does distinguished journal at present proclaim this after such abundant praise in 1853 of Mr. FILLMORE and his nationalization? Why, the editor has now the hardihood to say,

August 8, 1856:

"It is therefore idle to talk of Mr. Fillmore's firm maintenance of the Constitutional rights of the South while he was President!!!"

Patience, we are told, is the art of blunderers, and we should study to cultivate it; but when such declarations as these are seen to come from the Hon Original Coon, the leader of Democracy—declarations at antipodes of each other, and without any intervening facts in the history of Mr. FILLMORE to warrant them—it is truly difficult to command patience enough to be happy in the consideration in 1856 were not.

JUNIUS.

NICARAGUA.

The intelligence from Nicaragua by the *Daniel Webster* is exceedingly meagre. We have the *Nicaraguense* of the 6th instant, but its contents have been long since anticipated. The memorandum furnished by the Master of the *Daniel Webster*, and published in the Herald, comprises our entire stock of information, except the following brief paragraph which we find in the Aspinwall dispatch of the 6th:

There is a report afloat here to the effect that two thousand Costa Ricans were within [illegible] were in possession of Virgin Bay, at last accounts, [illegible].

There is no confirmation of this item that we have been able to appreciate.

THE MAIL SCHR.—The steamer *Gabriel*, Capt. Hoskinson, which [illegible] day from Mobile, was [illegible] day by the weather, which did not [illegible] yesterday at 12 o'clock, M. Therefore we had no mail from Mobile yesterday.

We received yesterday [illegible] papers, with a letter [illegible] respondent of the [illegible] is three days in advance [illegible] dates. It will be seen that [illegible] manifest had reported [illegible] previous advices.

[illegible] Fillmore [illegible] Democratic [illegible] Democratic [illegible] Democratic