THE DAILY BEE.
PUBLISHED BY
C. F. WEISER & CO.
C. F. WEISER, E. DUVERGER. | S. HARBY, H. DUFOUR.

Daily Paper, one year (in advance)..... $15
Weekly Paper, semi-weekly..... 5
In all cases, subscribers must give one week's notice in writing before they can be allowed to discontinue. Any person receiving the paper through the carrier, or otherwise, will be considered as a subscriber, as I obliged to pay, unless due notice is given of the fact to the office.

The New Orleans Bee.

NEW ORLEANS:
TUESDAY MORNING, MARCH 5, 1861.

Office, 73 Chartres street. NEW ORLEANS, TUESDAY MORNING, MARCH 5, 1861. Vol. XVII—New Series. Vol. XXXIII.—Whole No. 11,923.

RATES OF ADVERTISING.
ONE DOLLAR for every TEN lines, in addition to the first insertion, and half that price for each subsequent one.
Advertisements on the first page ONE DOLLAR per square for each insertion.
Advertisements inserted at intervals will be charged ONE DOLLAR for every TEN lines, for each language for each insertion.
The privilege of Annual Advertisers is limited to advertisements strictly within the line of their business and in front to one square every three days.
No subscription taken for less than one year. No subscription to advertising will be stopped until all arrearages are paid, unless at the option of the proprietors.

SUBSCRIPTIONS BY THE WEEK.

The carriers of the BEE are authorized to receive subscriptions to our paper, at the rate of 25 cents a week, payable in all cases to the carriers themselves.

Sales at Auction To-Day.

By F. Roger & Co., at 10 o'clock, at Nos 45 and 47 Old Levee street, foreign and domestic dry goods; also a variety of damaged goods.

By D. E. Murphy, at 10 o'clock, on the levee, head of Girod street, corn and hay.

By R. S. Sykes, at 11½ o'clock, at No. 30 Old Levee street, carpet ware.

HOW HISTORY IS WRITTEN.

The agitation which prevails in Europe respecting the political events transpiring in this country is really a subject of astonishment. We can understand how certain London and Paris journals persist in seeking for information concerning American affairs exclusively in the Northern Black Republican sheets, and consequently how they lead their readers into error by presenting them with but one side of the question. But that the organs of the manufacturing districts, which flourish and prosper wholly by the staple of the Southern States of America, should consult the same partial and fallacious sources of information, and should systematically accept as gospel truth the absurd fabrications of the Abolition fanatics of New England, is scarcely a matter of wonder to every thoughtful mind—and the more so as the most important material interests of those districts are indissolubly connected with the stability of the South, and the uninterrupted pursuit of her agricultural industry.

The British Ministry, together with the Chambers of Commerce of Manchester and Birmingham, have imbibed the singular notion that the production of cotton in America is most seriously endangered, and without for an instant considering the necessity of obtaining specific and authentic intelligence in relation to their apprehensions, and in regard to the certain shadows which inspire them, they have exhausted their inventive resources in futile efforts to discover some plan by which they may be rendered independent of American cotton We are not greatly surprised at these extravagant and groundless ideas when we look at the character of the accounts from the seceding States published in the European papers. A revolution which has been accomplished without striking a blow, which has brought about the withdrawal from the Union of seven sovereign States, and which has united those States in a Provisional Government approved by the people, is represented in the Old World as a work of fearful disorder, the dishonest and anarchical result of an ignorant and fanatical population, destined itself to crumble into ruin, and be fruitful in nothing but the elements of mischief.

The Manchester *Guardian*, an influential print of one of the wealthiest and most populous manufacturing counties in England, published some time since a letter dated January 14th, from Maine, and the *Journal des Havre*, the leading paper of the great cotton emporium of France, republishes it without a note or comment, or a word of reprobation to qualify the odious and unhallowed falsehoods in which it abounds Here are one or two passages which will convey an idea of the contents of this monstrous missive

The cotton States are in the hands of a rabble, and the reign of terror is inaugurated No one dares to speak publicly to assert the right of rebellion Whoever should venture to favor himself openly against the prevailing madness would be immediately put to death

Record towns and communities of provisions are escorted from the rich, who are compelled to submit, under penalty of the confiscation of their property Many persons are sending their families secretly to the North They would not dare to do so openly Business of all kinds is suspended and while the populace, transported with fanatical rage, are ready to overturn and destroy every thing, the better classes are overcome with terror, and in place the protection of a Government which, now exhibits a deplorable weakness

The history of the world has never displayed so dreadful a page Very often in many countries and existence the people have risen against despotic Governments, and, fighting in favor of liberty or for the purpose of escaping from oppression but this is a rebellion which has broken out at a time when the country is happy, prosperous, independent and more powerful that it has ever been as prosperous as peaceable and as happy as any other nation and this rebellion in favor of oppression Her reign is assailed liberty, and defend the one more detestable system of slavery the world has ever heredd The insurrection is the work of the masters and not of the wealthy classes of the South The populace are rude with fury and the streets and exhibits that they are ready to die for slavery, and to resist freedom

And this is the way history is written We can scarcely understand how the Manchester *Guardian* could have published so outrageous a farrago of lies, or how the *Journal du Havre* could have copied it Both prints are raised by commercial and manufacturing centers, whose interest and material welfare depend to secure accurate and intelligence respecting the movements in the South Can we marvel at the cotton panic in Europe, if the public are taught to yield credence to such monstrous fi mendacity as are embraced in the correspondence quoted above? It is very evident that northern England nor France are particularly disposed to examine Southern authorities touching these matters Had they done so, they will have learned that the cause of secession was not decided without serious dispassionate and prolonged discussion among the present and Public men of the South, that in several of the States the canvass between the advocates of the prudent State action and cooperation was warmly conducted that the elections for the most part terminated in the choice of gentlemen of undoubted position, exalted reputation, and approved capacity that the delegates chosen to represent the South in the Congress at Montgomery are statesmen, wise, experienced and patriotic that the President and Vice President elected by the people over the Provisional Government, distinguished public men are of great administrative ability, and long habituated to the labors and responsibilities of political life They would have learned, too, something of the decision of the Southern people—that in the seceding States the militia has been more than quintupled by the voluntary enrollment of citizens many of whom belongs to the wealthier classes, and in fine, that now that secession has become a fixed fact, all, with an exception, or rather the action of the cotton States. Every word in the letter referred to is false, forced loans, anarchy, the suspension of business, the implacable despotism of the populace, &c., is wickedly and wantonly false and even time is not instant when the fanaticism or malignant revilers of the South will discover the grievous errors they have sought to propagate

BY TELEGRAPH.

[TRANSMITTED TO THE NEW ORLEANS BEE.]

The Inaugural Fears Exterminated of Lincoln's Safety — Immense Concourse in Washington.

WASHINGTON, March 4.—An immense concourse of people, especially from the West, to witness the inauguration of Abraham Lincoln, is congregating in this city

Lincoln's Cabinet.

The Cabinet of the President elect is formed, but the Republican papers announce the members have as yet no official knowledge of it.

The Inaugural Address.

Lincoln's document his inaugural address to Senator, who heartily concurred in the greater part but suggested a few modifications, which were accepted.

Return of the Maryland Delegation.

The Maryland delegation have gone home disgusted with their trip. It has put Chase and Blair more violent Cabinet saying there was no hope of retaining Maryland in the Union Lincoln replied they might go. My Cabinet is formed.

Arrival of Plug-Uglies and Wide-Awakes.

It is rumored that a large body of men from Baltimore have arrived including a detachment of Plug Uglies.

Fears are still entertained of Mr Lincoln's safety

Every political spoils have been detached for his protection.

A large body of thoroughly organized Wide-Awakes are said to be here

Closing of the Thirty-Sixth Congress.

WASHINGTON, March 4.—The thirty sixth Congress was to-day closed by the President.

Mr Breckinridge made a farewell speech, which was followed by one from Hamlin Mr Breckinridge then administered the oath to Mr Hamlin, and declared the Senate adjourned sine die

At the inauguration ceremonies were about commencing a full corps of diplomats arrived and exhibited a lot of both sections cordially met Senators Mason and Hunter were the only prominent men whose absence was noticed

Later from Havana—Arrival of the Steamer Habana.

PORT LAVACA, March 4.—The clipper steamship Habana, Capt J H M Connell, commander arrived here from Havana, crossed the bar at 8 o'clock this evening

Movements of Gen. Twiggs.

SAVANNAH, March 4.—The steamer Atlantic arrived at the bar at 8 o'clock this evening Brig Texas reported as having left Gen Twiggs at Indianola. The citizens of Indianola had taken possession of a revenue cutter lying there to the late Federal officials.

Silver Intelligence.

LOUISVILLE, March 4.—The river at this point is falling with four 10 inches water in the canal by the mark

"TRAVELS OF A SUGAR PLANTER"—Our thanks are due Messrs Bloomfield & Steel, No 62 Camp street, for a volume entitled "The Travels of a Sugar Planter; or, Six Months in Europe" By H W Allen. The work consists of a series of lively and pleasant letters, of a sketchy and rather superficial character, descriptive of men and things in Europe.

INSTANT RELIEF—Under this head will be found an advertisement offering special remedies for *croup*, sore throat, coughs, worms and *other diseases*, to which attention is directed.

INAUGURAL ADDRESS OF ABRAHAM LINCOLN.

WASHINGTON, March 4.

Fellow-Citizens of the United States:

In compliance with the custom of the old United States Government itself, I appear before you to address you briefly, and take in your presence the oath prescribed by the Constitution of the United States to be taken by the President before he enters upon the execution of the duties of his office

I do not consider it necessary at present for me to discuss those matters of the Administration about which there is no special anxiety or excitement.

Apprehension appears to exist among the people of the Southern States that by the accession of a Republican Administration their property, their peace and their personal security are to be endangered. There has never been any reasonable cause for such apprehension—indeed the most ample evidence, on the contrary, has all the while existed and been open to their inspection. It is found in nearly all the published speeches of him who now addresses you. I do but quote from one of those speeches when I declare that I have no purpose, directly or indirectly, to interfere with the institution of slavery in the States where it exists. I believe I have no lawful right to do so, and I have no inclination to do so.

Those who nominated and elected me did so with full knowledge that I had made this and many similar declarations, and had never recanted them, and more than that, they placed in the platform for my acceptance, and as a few to themselves, the clear and emphatic resolution which I now read

"Resolved, That the maintenance inviolate of the right of States, and especially the right of each State to order and control its own domestic institutions according to its own judgment exclusively, is essential to that balance of the power on which the perfection and endurance of our own political fabric depends and we denounce the lawless invasion by armed force, of the soil of any State or Territory, no matter under what pretext, as among the gravest of crimes

I now reiterate these sentiments, doing so only to press upon the public attention, the most nonthreatening attitude in which the case is susceptible, that the property, peace and security of no section are to be in any way endangered by the now incoming Administration I add, too, that all the protection which, consistently with the Constitution and the laws, can be given, will be cheerfully given all States when lawfully demanded, for whatever cause, as cheerfully to one section as another

There is much controversy about delivering up fugitives from service or labor

I declare that I understand the proper safeguard within the Constitution as any other of its provisions

"No person held in the service or labor of one State, under the laws thereof, escaping into another, shall, in consequence of any law or regulation, be discharged from such service or labor, but he delivered up on claim of the party, when such service or labor is due

It is scarcely questioned that this provision was intended by those who made it for reclaiming what we call fugitive slaves, and the intention of the law giver is the law All members of Congress swear their support to the whole Constitution to this provision as much as to any other To the proposition, then, that slaves whose cases come within the terms of this clause shall be delivered up, the vote is unanimous Now, if they would make an effort in good temper could they not, with nearly an equal unanimity, frame and pass a law by means of which to keep good that unanimous oath?

There is some difference of opinion whether this clause should be enforced by National or State authority, but surely that difference is not very material one If a slave is to be surrendered, it can be of but little consequence to him or others by which authority it is done, and should any one in any case be content that his oath should go unkept on merely unsubstantial controversy as to how it shall be kept again In any fuss upon this subject, ought to avoid if it can be, and unless it can be, avoided manner participate he introduced so that a free man be not in any case surrendered as a slave and might it not be well that the safeguard to provide by law for the enforcement of that clause in the Constitution which guarantees that the citizens of each State shall be entitled to all the privileges and immunities of the citizens in the several States

I take the official oath to-day with no mental reservation and with no purpose to construe the Constitution and laws by any hypothetical rules, and while I do not choose now to specify particular acts of Congress as proper to be enforced, I do suggest that it will be much safer for all, both in official and private stations, to conform to and abide by all those acts which stand unrepealed than to violate any of them, trusting to find impunity in having them held to be unconstitutional

It seems likely to me since the first inauguration of a President under the National Constitution During that period fifteen different and greatly excited controversies have arisen and seven times one or the executive branches of the Government they have conducted them through many perils and pending with great success Yet with all this scope for precedent, I now enter upon the same task for the brief constitutional term of four years under great difficulties A disruption of the Federal Union, heretofore only menaced, is now formally admitted I hold that in contemplation of universal law and of the Constitution the Union of the States is perpetual it is implied, if not expressed, in the fundamental law of national Government It can be safe to assert that no government proper ever had a provision in any organic law for its own termination Continue to execute all express provisions of our National Constitution and Union will endure for every, as far as practicable for the people themselves, or their representatives But if the United States be not such a Government at all as an association of States in nature or in fact merely can it be a contract practically unmade by less than all the parties who made it One party to the contract may violate it—break it, so to speak, but does it not require all to rightfully rescind it?

Descending from these general principles we find a proposition that in legal contemplation the Union is perpetual confirmed by the history of the Union itself the Union is much older than the Constitution It was formed in fact by articles of association in 1774, it was matured and continued by the Declaration of Independence in 1776 it was further matured by the faith all the thirteen States expressly plighted and engaged that it should be perpetual by the articles of Confederation in 1778 and finally in 1787

One of the declared objects for ordaining and establishing the Constitution was to form a more perfect Union but if the destruction of the Union by one or by part only of the States be lawfully possible the Union is less perfect than before the Constitution having lost its vital element of perpetuity It follows from these views that no State upon its mere motion can lawfully get out of the Union that resolves and ordinances to that effect are legally void, that acts of violence within any State or States against the authority of the United States are insurrectionary or revolutionary according to circumstances

I intend to consider that in views of the Constitution and the laws the Union is unbroken, and to the extent of my ability I shall take care, as the Constitution itself expressly enjoins upon me, that the laws of the Union be faithfully executed in all the States Doing this I deem it to be only simple duty on my part, and I shall perform it so far as practicable, unless my rightful masters the American people, shall withhold the requisite means, or in some authoritative manner direct the contrary I trust this will not be regarded as a menace, but only as the declared purpose of the Union, that it will constitutionally defend and maintain itself In doing this there needs to be no bloodshed or violence, and there shall be none, unless it be forced upon the national authority The power confided to me will be used to hold and occupy, and possess the property and places belonging to the Government, and to collect the duties on imports But beyond what may be necessary for these objects there will be no invasion, no using of force against or among people anywhere Where hostility to us many interior locality shall be so great and so universal as to prevent competent resident citizens from holding the Federal offices, there will be no attempt to force obnoxious strangers among the people for that object While the strict legal right may exist in the Government to force the exercise of these offices, the attempt to do so would be so irritating, and so nearly impractica ble, with such a force that I deem it better to forego for the present the use of such officers

The mails, unless repelled, will continue to be furnished to all parts of the Union, so far as possible The people anywhere shall have the benefit of their franchise and their right of choosing their representatives and officials as before

I understand the proposed amendment to the Constitution which amendment, however, I have not seen has passed Congress, to the effect that the Federal Government shall never interfere with the domestic institutions of the States, including that of persons held to service or labor I do not consider it necessary at present for me to discuss those matters of the Administration about which there is no special anxiety or excitement.

Apprehension appears to exist among the people of the Southern States that by the accession of a Republican Administration their property, their peace and their personal security are to be endangered. There has never been any reasonable cause for such apprehension—indeed the most ample evidence, on the contrary, has all the while existed and been open to their inspection. It is found in nearly all the published speeches of him who now addresses you. I do but quote from one of those speeches when I declare that I have no purpose, directly or indirectly, to interfere with the institution of slavery in the States where it exists. I believe I have no lawful right to do so, and I have no inclination to do so.

Those who nominated and elected me did so with full knowledge that I had made this and many similar declarations, and had never recanted them, and more than that, they placed in the platform for my acceptance, and as a few to themselves, the clear and emphatic resolution which I now read

"Resolved, That the maintenance inviolate of the right of States, and especially the right of each State to order and control its own domestic institutions according to its own judgment exclusively, is essential to that balance of the power on which the perfection and endurance of our own political fabric depends and we denounce the lawless invasion by armed force, of the soil of any State or Territory, no matter under what pretext, as among the gravest of crimes

I now reiterate these sentiments, doing so only to press upon the public attention, the most nonthreatening attitude in which the case is susceptible, that the property, peace and security of no section are to be in any way endangered by the now incoming Administration I add, too, that all the protection which, consistently with the Constitution and the laws, can be given, will be cheerfully given all States when lawfully demanded, for whatever cause, as cheerfully to one section as another

There is much controversy about delivering up fugitives from service or labor

I declare that I understand the proper safeguard within the Constitution as any other of its provisions

"No person held in the service or labor of one State, under the laws thereof, escaping into another, shall, in consequence of any law or regulation, be discharged from such service or labor, but he delivered up on claim of the party, when such service or labor is due

It is scarcely questioned that this provision was intended by those who made it for reclaiming what we call fugitive slaves, and the intention of the law giver is the law All members of Congress swear their support to the whole Constitution to this provision as much as to any other To the proposition, then, that slaves whose cases come within the terms of this clause shall be delivered up, the vote is unanimous Now, if they would make an effort in good temper could they not, with nearly an equal unanimity, frame and pass a law by means of which to keep good that unanimous oath?

There is some difference of opinion whether this clause should be enforced by National or State authority, but surely that difference is not very material one If a slave is to be surrendered, it can be of but little consequence to him or others by which authority it is done, and should any one in any case be content that his oath should go unkept on merely unsubstantial controversy as to how it shall be kept again In any fuss upon this subject, ought to avoid if it can be, and unless it can be, avoided manner participate he introduced so that a free man be not in any case surrendered as a slave and might it not be well that the safeguard to provide by law for the enforcement of that clause in the Constitution which guarantees that the citizens of each State shall be entitled to all the privileges and immunities of the citizens in the several States

I take the official oath to-day with no mental reservation and with no purpose to construe the Constitution and laws by any hypothetical rules, and while I do not choose now to specify particular acts of Congress as proper to be enforced, I do suggest that it will be much safer for all, both in official and private stations, to conform to and abide by all those acts which stand unrepealed than to violate any of them, trusting to find impunity in having them held to be unconstitutional

It seems likely to me since the first inauguration of a President under the National Constitution During that period fifteen different and greatly excited controversies have arisen and seven times one or the executive branches of the Government they have conducted them through many perils and pending with great success Yet with all this scope for precedent, I now enter upon the same task for the brief constitutional term of four years under great difficulties A disruption of the Federal Union, heretofore only menaced, is now formally admitted I hold that in contemplation of universal law and of the Constitution the Union of the States is perpetual it is implied, if not expressed, in the fundamental law of national Government It can be safe to assert that no government proper ever had a provision in any organic law for its own termination Continue to execute all express provisions of our National Constitution and Union will endure for every, as far as practicable for the people themselves, or their representatives But if the United States be not such a Government at all as an association of States in nature or in fact merely can it be a contract practically unmade by less than all the parties who made it One party to the contract may violate it—break it, so to speak, but does it not require all to rightfully rescind it?

Descending from these general principles we find a proposition that in legal contemplation the Union is perpetual confirmed by the history of the Union itself the Union is much older than the Constitution It was formed in fact by articles of association in 1774, it was matured and continued by the Declaration of Independence in 1776 it was further matured by the faith all the thirteen States expressly plighted and engaged that it should be perpetual by the articles of Confederation in 1778 and finally in 1787

One of the declared objects for ordaining and establishing the Constitution was to form a more perfect Union but if the destruction of the Union by one or by part only of the States be lawfully possible the Union is less perfect than before the Constitution having lost its vital element of perpetuity It follows from these views that no State upon its mere motion can lawfully get out of the Union that resolves and ordinances to that effect are legally void, that acts of violence within any State or States against the authority of the United States are insurrectionary or revolutionary according to circumstances

I intend to consider that in views of the Constitution and the laws the Union is unbroken, and to the extent of my ability I shall take care, as the Constitution itself expressly enjoins upon me, that the laws of the Union be faithfully executed in all the States Doing this I deem it to be only simple duty on my part, and I shall perform it so far as practicable, unless my rightful masters the American people, shall withhold the requisite means, or in some authoritative manner direct the contrary I trust this will not be regarded as a menace, but only as the declared purpose of the Union, that it will constitutionally defend and maintain itself In doing this there needs to be no bloodshed or violence, and there shall be none, unless it be forced upon the national authority The power confided to me will be used to hold and occupy, and possess the property and places belonging to the Government, and to collect the duties on imports But beyond what may be necessary for these objects there will be no invasion, no using of force against or among people anywhere Where hostility to us many interior locality shall be so great and so universal as to prevent competent resident citizens from holding the Federal offices, there will be no attempt to force obnoxious strangers among the people for that object While the strict legal right may exist in the Government to force the exercise of these offices, the attempt to do so would be so irritating, and so nearly impractica ble, with such a force that I deem it better to forego for the present the use of such officers

The mails, unless repelled, will continue to be furnished to all parts of the Union, so far as possible The people anywhere shall have the benefit of their franchise and their right of choosing their representatives and officials as before

I understand the proposed amendment to the Constitution which amendment, however, I have not seen has passed Congress, to the effect that the Federal Government shall never interfere with the domestic institutions of the States, including that of persons held to service or labor To avoid misconstruction of what I have said, I depart from my purpose not to speak of particular amendments so far as to say that the locking of such a provision now to be established by law would have no objection to its being made express and irrevocable The Chief Magistrate derives all his authority from the people and they have given no power to change this provision except by authority of the people themselves can do this also, if they choose but the Executive, as such has nothing to do with it His duty is to administer the present Government as it came to his hands, and to transmit it unimpaired by him to his successor Why should there not be a partial indemnity in the utmost passion of the case? Is there not better or equal hope in the world in our present difficulties? Is either party without faith or honor? Is there no choice but blood and scourge? As a man and a friend I put this question to your side of the North or no some of the South that truth and not passion will surely be recognized by all parties and the God of the American people, by the frame of Government under which they live, this same people have wisely given but the power to the people, and have, with good reason, left to them for the return of that little to them Such is my view of the present case, and such is the reason for the hope I entertain that no repetition of the effort to destroy this great Government will be made during the late hour Every one of our paper burst

German Visitor (astonished)—Indeed, madame? I did not know one.

Lady—You are too fortunate Pray, what did you do to prevent it?

German Visitor (with the greatest innocence)—Madame, I did smoke them

A MAN CALLED OUT OF HIS NAME—We have encountered no more eloquent than that of the plaintiff in the great case of Paterson vs Bonaparte now being tried in Paris To think of a man being called Paterson when they were in the same house, whether he is to be allowed to be the lawfully begotten son of his own Pater—and the chances are a hundred to one against it

A PROMISING BOY—Observe The fairy T D Rice the renowned expert of the Ethiopian trade, is now receiving freight and cash for the Mississippi New Boston, Franklin, Brashear City, &c I all aboard and no absentees aside The deserted proprietor Capt D R Leak is now on the levee, and will be glad to see all Atchison president at the desk The Rice will be away at 1 P M to-day

FOR BOSTON, TEXAS—The fine schooner Pioneer, passenger packet Homer with her new dispatch, will sail for the above port on Tuesday, at 10 A M Splendid accommodations for passengers, &c Address on board, foot of Bienville street, or to Capt Homer, on the levee, corner of Bienville street

FOR GALVESTON—The fine clipper passenger packet Homer, Capt Wm A Harden, will sail for the above port on Tuesday, at 10 A M For freight or passage apply on board, foot of Bienville street, or to Capt Homer, on the levee, corner of Bienville street

FOR GALVESTON—The fine clipper passenger packet Rover, Capt Wm Hardie, will sail for the above port on Tuesday, at 10 A M For freight or passage apply on board, foot of Bienville street, or to Capt Hardie