The New Orleans Bee.

OFFICE 73 CHARTRES STREET. NEW ORLEANS, THURSDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 23, 1869. 43d YEAR—Vol. XLIII.—Whole—14,937

THE NEW ORLEANS DAILY BEE
DUFOUR & LIMET, PUBLISHERS,
No. 73 Chartres Street.

TERMS OF THE BEE:
Terms of Subscription—Daily, per annum, in advance, $16; half-yearly, $8; quarterly, $4.
Price of the paper—Single copies, 10 cents.
The Semi-Weekly is published every Tuesday and Saturday. Subscription, $5 per annum, invariably in advance.
Rates of Advertising—Transient advertisement having the run of the paper, first insertion, $1 50 per square; each subsequent insertion, 75 cents per square.
First, or Editorial Page, transient advertisements, each insertion, $1 50 per square.
Advertisements inserted at intervals to be charged as new each insertion.
First, or Editorial Page, monthly advertisements, each square, $7 per month.
Regular advertisers, who advertise largely, shall be allowed such discount from the above named transient rates as may be agreed upon. In no case shall such discount exceed 25 per cent.
All Business Notices of Advertisements to be charged 20 cents net per line, each insertion.
Transient advertisements must be paid for in advance.
Advertisements not marked for any number of insertions, will be published six times.
All bills with regular advertisers shall be rendered monthly.

Semi-Weekly Edition.
TERMS—PER YEAR.....$5

NEW ORLEANS:
THURSDAY MORNING, DEC. 23, 1869.

TRY GEORGE ALCES' CIGARS,
185 .....Rampart Street.....185

Amusements This Evening.

OPERA HOUSE—Meyerbeer's Opera "L'Africaine."
VARIETIES THEATRE—"Dot."
ST. CHARLES THEATRE—"Eli, or the Assassin Tailor," and "Mr. and Mrs. Peter White."
ACADEMY OF MUSIC—"Diane."

NO THIRD PARTY IN LOUISIANA.

The *Times* objects to our classification of the Democracy into two divisions, and with that admission of which it is a master, and by the intermixture of pleasantry, which it so well knows how to employ in attempts to divert attention from the object which they have in view for whom it speaks.

The *Times* says we do Warmoth too much honor by making him "the sole issue and cause of division and strife in the Democratic party." Gov. Warmoth's name was used by us only because he is the leader of the most powerful wing of the Republican party in this State and wields the Executive power of the State. That was obvious. Of himself he is nothing, and when his term of office has expired, unless he goes to the Senate of the United States, he will return into that obscurity from whence he came. We have not the smallest idea that he will exercise any influence in private and individual society, turning incense before His Excellency, if that be any gratification to the worshipper. Nor do we have any objection to proper respect and courtesy being shown to Gov. Warmoth in his official capacity. We do not ourselves go as the teachers of manners that would be presumption indeed. What we objected to was the, and we state it for the last time—that the Governor Warmoth who has done so much to commend him to the people of Louisiana, but who on the contrary has done many acts to injure them, should be publicly endorsed by citizens of standing, who have been regarded hitherto as opposed to negro rule and Radical ideas should be taken by the hand by such persons as interested to the Democracy of Louisiana, as worthy of their confidence, under the pretext of a public demonstration to a railroad bill.

The *Times* desires to be informed by us who is the exclusive leader of that large class of citizens who do not belong to either of the classes mentioned and who look upon Gov. Warmoth as any other official, to be supported when he does right, to be denounced when he does wrong.

That contemporary must not be enforced to divulge the secret by an artful statement of facts. We know the abilities in the way of special pleading, and must therefore closely scrutinize his propositions.

In speaking of the Democracy, we meant, as the *Times* very well knows, that numerous body of citizens who stand opposed to Radicals and Radicalism in open and disguised—Radicalism carried and Radicalism undisguised. We are ignorant of but two parties in this State—the Democrats and the Radicals. We know of no third party, such as the *Times* describes. The issue between us is therefore a matter of fact which can only be decided by our readers respectively. All in favor of negro suffrage and its concomitants are of the Radical party—against negro suffrage we term Democrats. The question of negro suffrage is not yet settled, as our contemporary probably imagines. We hold there can be no compromise between the parties and no possible coalition between them except for the benefit of the Radicals.

Democrats divide—Radicals and Democrats—are never divided into two classes, whom we term Radicals and professed Democrats, Democrats and professed Radicals. The genuine Radical is for negro suffrage and for negro equality, while the professed Radical is only for negro suffrage, and yet would contribute to the personal interests of the genuine Democrat to assist negro suffrage. The genuine Democrat is against negro suffrage and negro equality, submitting only to the inevitable evil because he cannot resist it, while the professed Democrat, whose object is to get office and money to his own per[illegible] is ready to lend his concurrence in negro suffrage with its concomitants, whenever that may be necessary to secure the success of personal aggrandizement. Now we submit at once that it is easier to say who are not Democrats than who are Democrats, but there can be no two classes of Democrats, and there cannot be two classes of Democrats, and there cannot be two classes of Democrats, and there cannot be